Springer Stranger

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL (Large Entity)				Docket No. 836.047	
In Re Application Of: Mu	rray, et al.				
Serial No. 09/485,267	Filing Date 6/7/98	Not ye	aminer t known	Group Art Unit Not yet known	
Invention: USE OF CHO	LINESTERASE INHIBITO	RS FOR TREATIN	G ATTENTION	DEFICIT DISORDERS	
This is a request under the		SSIONER FOR PA		response to the Office Action	
Date	is as follows (check time per ☐ Two months ☑	iod desired): Three months	☐ Four mon		
from:	4/28/00 Date	until:	Date		
☐ The Director is her Deposit Account N	ount of the fee is enclosed. reby authorized to charge and to. 02-2105	:		FAX RECEIVED iOCT 0 9 2003 PETITIONS OFFICE	
Peter L. Berger, Esq. R Levisohn, Berger & Lan 805 Third Avenue, 19th New York, New York 10 Phone (212) 486-7272 Fax (212) 486-0323 Customer No. 04617	gsam, LLP Floor	: : : : :	on first class mail und Commissioner for 22313-1450.	document and fee is being deposited With the U.S. Postal Service as ler 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA of Parson Mailing Correspondence Name of Person Mailing Correspondence	

Docket No. Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned 836,047 Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity) In Re Application Of: Murray, et al. Group Art Unit Examiner Filing Date Serial No. Not yet known Not yet known 6/28/00 09/485.267 Invention: USE OF CHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS FOR TREATING ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDERS Attention: Office of Petitions COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions NOTE: Information at (703) 305-9282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to a notice or action by the Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION A grantable petition requires the following items: NOTE: Petition fee: (1) Reply and/or issue fee: (2) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee-required for all utility and plant applications (3) filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. (4) **FAX RECEIVED** OCT 0 9 2003 1. A proposed reply to the above-identified notice or action: was filed on **PETITIONS OFFICE** is enclosed. Petition and Declaration The proposed reply is in the form of: 2. The issue fee: was paid on is enclosed. 3.

The abandoned application was a: plant application. utility application. design application.

5. Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

4. A terminal disclaimer (and fee) disclaiming a period equivalent to the period of abandonment is enclosed.

Petition For Reviv Unintentional	ral Of An Application For Pate ly Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Las	nt Abandoned rge Entity)	Docket N 836,047	o.
n Re Application Of: Mu	rray, et al	· : :		
Serial No. Filing Date 6/28/00		Examiner Not yet known	Group Art Un Not yet known	
nvention: USE OF CHC	LINESTERASE INHIBITORS F	OR TREATING ATTENTION	DEFICIT DISOR	DERS
·		! : :	•	
		:		
	Calculation and	Payment of Fees		
nclosed are the following	fees:	:		
6. Petition fee unde	er 37 CFR 1.17(m) in the amount	of.		\$1,330.00
7. Fee for amendment	ent in the amount of.	:		
8. 🛭 Fee for extension	n of time to respond to Office Ac	tion in the amount of:	·	\$950.00
9. Issue fee in the	amount of:	:	-	
10. Continuing appl	ication filing fee in the amount of	:	-	
11. Terminal disclar	mer fee in the amount of:	:	_	
12. 🗆		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Total fee	es enclosed: _	\$2,280.00
		:		
The fee of \$2,280	is to be paid as follows:			
☐ A check in the an ☐ The Director is he ☐ Deposit Account	nount of the fee is enclosed. ereby authorized to charge any fe No. 02-2105	ees which may be required, or c	redit any overpay	ment, to
	·	• •		
		:		



Docket No.

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

In Re Application Of: Murray, et al.

Serial No. 09/485,267 Filing Date 6/28/00

Examiner

Not yet known

Group Art Unit

Not yet known

Invention: USE OF CHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS FOR TREATING ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDERS

Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Peter L. Berger, Esq. Reg. No. 24,57 Levisohn, Berger & Langsam, LLP

805 Third Avenue, 19th Floor

New York, New York 10022 Phone (212) 486-7272

Fax (212) 486-0323

Customer No. 04617

Dated:

October 9, 2003

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Mall Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

cc;

Docket No. PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL (Large Entity) In Re Application Of: Murray, et al. Group Art Unit Examiner Filing Date Serial No. Not yet known Not yet known 6/7/98 09/485.267 Invention: USE OF CHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS FOR TREATING ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDERS TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: This is a request under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the period for filing a response to the Office Action ___ in the above-identified application. The requested extension is as follows (check time period desired): Five months ☐ Four months ☑ Three months ☐ Two months ☐ One month 7/28/00 until: . 4/28/00 from: and is to be paid as follows: The fee for the extension of time is \$950 ☐ A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-2105 FAX RECEIVED OCT 0 9 2003 **PETITIONS OFFICE** October 9, 2003 Peter L. Berger, Esq. Reg. No. 24,570 Levisohn, Berger & Langsam, LLP I certify that this document and fee is being deposited 805 Third Avenue, 19th Floor

New York, New York 10022 Phone (212) 486-7272 Fax (212) 486-0323 Customer No. 04617

with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



In re application of: Murray, et al.
Serial No. 09/485,267
International Application No. PCT/GB98/02378
For: USE OF CHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS
FOR TREATING ATTENTION DEFICIT
DISORDERS
Attorney Docket No. 836.047

October 9, 2003

VIA FAX (703) 308-6916

Hon. Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED 10CT 0 9 2003 PETITIONS OFFICE

PETITION TO REVIVE ABANDONED APPLICATION

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 please consider the enclosed Petition to Revive the above identified application because it was unintentionally abandoned. Submitted herewith is the Declaration originally signed by the inventor in the year 2000 in response to the original Notice of Missing Parts. If the Patent Office wants a more recently signed document, the undersigned will furnish the same. The patent Office is authorized to charge the appropriate Petition Fee to our deposit account 02-2105.

Additionally, enclosed is my Declaration with accompanying documents supporting this Petition.

Submitted herewith is a request for an extension of time authorizing the Patent Office to charge our deposit account number 02-2105.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter L. Berger

Reg. No. 24, 570

LEVISOHN, BERGER & LANGSAM, LLP 805 Third Avenue, 19th Floor New York, New York 10022 Tel. 212-486-7272 Fax 212-486-0323 H/Wards/835036.047Pet(10903.wpd

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Murray, et al.

Serial No. 09/485,267

International Application No. PCT/GB98/02378 For: USE OF CHOLINESTERASE INHIBITORS

FOR TREATING ATTENTION DEFICIT

DISORDERS

Attorney Docket No. 836.047

October 9, 2003

VIA FAX (703) 308-6916

Hon. Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED
OCT 0 9 2003

P. 11

DECLARATION OF PETER L. BERGER

PETITIONS OFFICE

Sir:

Peter Berger declares:

- 1. I am the attorney for the above identified application and submit this Declaration in support of Applicant's Petition to Revive an abandoned application. The response period was to expire on October 28, 2000.
- 2. On April 28, 2000 we received a notification of missing requirements under 35 USC 1.371, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. On May 5, 2000, Morris Cohen of this office reported the above identified notification of missing requirements, identifying May 28, 2000 as the deadline to respond before extensions. Additionally, attached as Exhibit B is a copy of the fax transmission to Mr. Costello dated May 5, 2000 (with fax confirmation receipt) in which the transmission was identified as urgent.
- 4. On May 31, 2000 the undersigned personally faxed another set of Declaration documents identifying the importance that such documents be signed and returned promptly. A copy of the transmission (with fax confirmation receipt) dated May 31, 2000 is attached as Exhibit C. Our office did not receive the Declaration signed by the applicant so that we were not able to timely reply to the then outstanding office action.

P. 12

- On November 2, 2000, our office received a copy of an Assignment to be 5. recorded, and the act of recording the document was undertaken as a ministerial act by a non-attorney in accordance with the standard practices of our office without reviewing the contents of the file.
- Subsequently, the abandoned application was filed in a separate storage 6. location.
- On January 10, 2003, the Patent:Office issued a Notice of Abandonment, 7. which was received in our office on January 16, 2003. This document appeared consistent with our file and was appropriately filed.
- On March 5, 2003, we received a letter from Marks & Clerk (Exhibit D) asking 8. for the status of the above identified application. We then undertook an investigation to try to determine why such letter was sent and what events transpired which led to the failure to timely file the appropriate signed Declaration with the Patent and Trademark Office.
- Over the past several months, we have spent considerable time going 9. through our files, through related documents and interviewing personnel as has Mr. Angus Fairborn of Marks & Clerk, and we have uncovered the following information.
- On June 20, 2000, Marks & Clerk sent by telefax the executed Declaration 10. documents for us to file in the US Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of such letter and attached documents are included as Exhibit E.
- We have reviewed and scoured our files and did not find any such 11. documents.
- Our personnel can not understand or explain how or why the document 12. transmitted by Marks & Clerk by telefax was not duly received in our office.
- In sum and substance, all that was required was that the executed 13. Declaration and Power of Attorney be filed, and at this end, we had submitted a completed, unexecuted document to Mr. Joseph Costello of Marks & Clerk of London, England.
- In the above identified matter, we are serving as local counsel, with Marks & Clerk having a direct relationship to the client in seeking both US and Worldwide patent protection.

P. 13

Attached herewith is a letter from Angus Fairborn, the attorney at Marks & 15. Clerk who currently has responsibility for the above captioned matter. Mr. Fairborn lists the status of corresponding applications throughout the world filed by Marks & Clerk either directly itself or through other corresponding counsel. As may be clear, this invention is very important with worldwide protection being sought.

Fax:212-486-0323

- As stated by Mr. Fairborn, this is an important invention to the client, and obtaining worldwide protection is critical to its intellectual property program.
- Marks & Clerk has a policy of following up on applications for which it is responsible and has corresponding attorneys in other countries undertake corresponding action, and in accordance with that standard practice, as evidenced by Exhibit D.
- The failure to file the signed Declaration within the original time and within the two year period thereafter was unintentional because our office took all of the standard steps and precautions it normally would take and should take regarding replying to an Office Action in which all that was required was merely obtaining a signed Declaration. Additionally, Marks & Clerk also took the standard and prudent steps it normally does in responding to corresponding attorneys' requests by transmitting by telefax the signed documents which its own fax machine indicated were properly sent. Marks & Clerk had no reason to suspect the documents had not been sent or had not been received.
- Since we received the March 5, 2003 letter, our office retrieved the 19. abandoned file from storage and contacted its employees to investigate the matter. One of those employees had retired. After both Marks & Clerk and our office concluded the investigation, we came to the complete and full belief that this application was unintentionally abandoned and have applied for revival. Both Marks & Clerk and our office have been working diligently since March to determine what happened. Thus, the entirety of the period of the lapse of the application is unintentional.
- There has been no adverse impact to any third party, as the failure to seek timely examination in the Patent Office has been delayed to the detriment to the applicant and to no other party's benefit.

21. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 USC §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 9, 2003

Peter L. Berger Reg. No. 24, 570

LEVISOHN, BERGER & LANGSAM, LLP 805 Third Avenue, 19th Floor New York, New York 10022 Tel. 212-486-7272 Fax 212-486-0323

H;\Wends\836\636.047PLBDec10703.wpd

LEVISOHN LERNER Fax:212-486-0323 Oct 9 '03

13:38

P. 15

EXHIBIT A